UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,672	06/12/2006	Selvanathan Narainsamy	5288-0101PUS1	8276
	7590 08/08/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH, VA 22040-0747	COPPOLA, JACOB C		
FALLS CHUK	сп, v A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/562,67	2	NARAINSAMY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		JACOB C.	COPPOLA	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated eply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will tute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from location to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2006 June 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		his action is n	on-final					
′=	, _			osecution as to the	e merits is			
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election rea	uirement					
·	,,	or election req	un om one.					
	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3621

Election of Species

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A

Species A1: Represented by Figure 3;

Species A2: Represented by Figure 4;

Species A3: Represented by Figure 5;

Species A4: Represented by Figure 6;

Species A5: Represented by Figure 7; and

Species A6: Represented by Figure 9;

Species B

Species B1: Represented by Figure 8; and

Species B2: Represented by Figure 11.

2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

3. Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect a single disclosed species from each Species group (e.g. Applicants may elect A3, B2) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is considered generic.

Art Unit: 3621

4. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (*e.g.*, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. §101 and/or 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

- 5. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.144.
- 7. If claims are added after the election (*e.g.* in an amendment), Applicants must also indicate (when the claim(s) are first presented) which of these *new* claims are readable on the elected species.

Art Unit: 3621

8. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of the other species.

- 9. If Applicants in their response to this Election of Species expressly state that Species A and B (or any subcombination thereof) as noted above are not patentably distinct and should Applicant provide evidence that Species A and B are not patentably distinct, the Examiner may withdraw this Election of Species.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.141.
- 11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Carl Thomsen on 04 August 2008 to request an oral election to the above Election of Species requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jacob C. Coppola whose telephone number is (571) 270-3922. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached at (571) 272-6779.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jacob C Coppola/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621 August 4, 2008

/ANDREW J. FISCHER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621